# <u>Committee Name</u>: Senate Committee – Judiciary, Corrections and Privacy (SC–JCP)

### **Appointments**

03hr\_SC-JCP\_Appt\_pt00

## **Committee Hearings**

03hr\_SC-JCP\_CH\_pt00

# **Committee Reports**

03hr\_SC-JCP\_CR\_pt00

#### Clearinghouse Rules

03hr\_SC-JCP\_CRule\_03-

#### **Executive Sessions**

03hr\_SC-JCP\_ES\_pt00

# Hearing Records 03hr\_ab0196

03hr\_sb0000

# Misc.

03hr\_SC-JCP\_Misc\_pt00

#### **Record of Committee Proceedings**

03hr\_SC-JCP\_RCP\_pt00

#### WISCONSIN NEWSPAPER ASSOCIATION

3822 MINERAL POINT ROAD ♦ PO BOX 5580 ♦ MADISON, WISCONSIN 53705

... the world's oldest Press Association. Established in 1853.

(608) 238-7171 (800) 261-4242 (in Wis.) Fax: 608-238-4771 www.wnanews.com

April 2003

To Members of the Legislature

Re: Open Records "Woznicki" fix AB196/SB78

From: Sandra George, Executive Director

The Wisconsin Newspaper Association has represented the newspapers of Wisconsin for over 150 years and has always been interested in access to open records. We support these bills to bring some structure to the open records law which was altered by the series of court cases that began with the *Woznicki* case.

That case involved a father trying to get the telephone records of a teacher that was accused of misconduct with his daughter. The DA agreed to release the records, but gave notice to the teacher. The Supreme Court did agree that the records should be released, but the problem resulted from its new expectation that a notice must be given in such cases.

The WNA supports these companion bills because we need an expedited procedure for the court-created requirement that public records custodians give notice before releasing public records that could be embarrassing to those named therein.

These bills represent a compromise which is better than the current situation because it narrows down the types of records subject to a Woznicki notice. For those records that are designated more sensitive and in need of such a notice, it also establishes a specific procedure.

The requirement that a public record custodian perform a balancing test to determine whether it is in the public's interest to have a record released remains and is intended to be unchanged.